

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

The publication of an article in the peer-reviewed journals is a process of permanent knowledge improvement, reflecting the quality of the work of authors, reviewers and editors/publisher. According to COPE guidelines (<http://publicationethics.org>), the publication ethics and publication malpractice statement is made to agree upon standards of expected ethical behavior for all parties involved in the act of publishing.

Responsibility of the Editors

Publication Decisions

All submitted manuscripts are reviewed under the Double-blind Peer Review process. The editors are fully responsible for the management of review process. The final publication decision must be confirmed by the editor-in-chief.

Fair Play

An editor should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality

The editors and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Editors should avoid any form of potential conflict of interest while performing their duties in concerned capacities in order to maintain integrity of our publication ethics and raise the standard of the journal.

Responsibility of the Reviewers

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a reviewer's own research without the express written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Responsibility of the Authors

Human and/or Animal Studies

Where applicable, manuscripts must contain a statement to the effect that all reported human and/or animal studies were reviewed by an appropriate ethics committee and conducted in accordance with appropriate ethical standards, such as those specified in the APA Publication Manual (Section 8.04, 6th Edition). The authors should also state clearly in the main text that all participants had given their informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study. Details that may disclose participants' identities should be omitted.

Pre-registration

Acta Psychologica Sinica requires that clinical trials submitted for the journal's consideration must be pre-registered in a publicly accessible database. Other basic and applied research is also encouraged to be pre-registered before data collection. Pre-registration shall include Introduction, Methods (including proposed analyses), and Pilot Data (where applicable). This practice is designed to minimize publication bias and other biases in hypothesis-driven research. A recommended website for pre-registration is <https://osf.io/>. Pre-registration will significantly increase the likelihood of acceptance.

Conflict of Interest

Authors must declare any potential conflicts of interest relevant to the publication of the manuscript by disclosing financial interests or connections, whether direct or indirect, and other situations that might raise the question of bias in the work reported or the conclusions, implications, or opinions stated. These items may include commercial or other sources of funding, personal relationships, or direct academic competition. Such information will be held in confidence while the paper is under review and will not influence the editorial decision. If the article is accepted for publication, the Editor will usually discuss with the authors the manner in which such information is to be communicated to the readers.

Submission Declaration

Authors should note that a submission implies that (i) the content has not been published previously in any language or venue, except as an abstract in the proceedings of a scientific meeting or symposium; (ii) the manuscript is not currently under consideration for publication elsewhere; and (iii) the corresponding author should ensure that there is a full consensus of all co-authors in approving the final version of the paper and its submission for publication.

The journal charges a publication fee only if the manuscript is accepted for publication. There is no submission charge. Instruction for payment is sent during publication process. Payment can be made by bank transfer. Publication fee, 150 RMB Yuan per page at a maximum of 3000 RMB Yuan, is required to cover the cost of publication, and should be paid before publication.

Publication Ethics

Acta Psychologica Sinica follows the code of conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and COPE's guidelines when a misconduct is suspected or alleged. The following issues are highlighted.

- **Originality and plagiarism:** The authors should ensure that their work is original, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others, then this must be appropriately cited or quoted.

- Data access and retention: Authors may be asked to provide raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review and should be prepared to provide public access to such data.
- Multiple, redundant, or concurrent publication: An author should not publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication.

Please note that *Acta Psychologica Sinica* uses the Academic Literature Misconduct Check system of the Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure to screen manuscripts for plagiarism risks. By submitting your manuscript to *Acta Psychologica Sinica*, you are agreeing to any originality checks your manuscript may undergo during the peer-review and production processes.

Where author or peer reviewer misconduct is identified, a due process will be followed to investigate the issue, giving those involved a right to appeal in a formal way. The publisher and editors will deal with the allegations of research misconduct according to COPE guidelines (<http://publicationethics.org>). In the event that any allegation of research misconduct is proven, the journal is always ready to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.